UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,008	07/31/2003	Robert E. Richard	02-263	9358
27774 MAYER & W I	7590 07/20/201 LLIAMS PC	EXAMINER		
251 NORTH AVENUE WEST			ALAWADI, SARAH	
	2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,008	RICHARD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	SARAH AL-AWADI	1619			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Mar 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1,5-9,11-16,18-20,22-23,28-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13 and 30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5,6-9,11-12, 14-16,18-20, 22-23, 28-29, and 31-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the off the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the RCE filed 11/30/2009.

Claims 1, 9, 11-16, 18, 19, 23 are currently amended. Claims 28-32 are newly added. A new restriction requirement was mailed 04/16/2010.

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Applicant's election with traverse of the species, block copolymer comprising dimethylsiloxane units in the form of a graft copolymer, paclitaxel as the therapeutic agent, styrene as the Tg non-siloxane unit which may further comprise poly (1-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone) and styrene-isobutylene copolymers as the supplemental polymer in the reply filed on 05/12/2010 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of all the claims in the application can be made without serious search burden. This is not found persuasive because the different species encompassed by the claims would require different search terms/queries. For example, a search for the supplemental polymer of polysulfone polymers would not necessarily yield polymers such as methacrylate polymers as these are completely different polymers.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 13 and 30 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 05/12/2010.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

Rejecions under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1, 4-9,17, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamath et al. United States Patent, 6,335,029. In light of Applicants remarks and amendments, most notably to claim 1, said rejection is **withdrawn.**

Claims 10-16, 18-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamath et al. and Kumar et al. United Sates Patent, 5,057,619. In light of Applicants remarks and amendments, most notably to claim 1, said rejection is **withdrawn**.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamath et al. and Zukowsky, United States Patent Application 4,616,064. In light of Applicants remarks and amendments, most notably to claim 1, said rejection is **withdrawn.**

NEW REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 10/632,008 Page 4

Art Unit: 1619

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 5, 6-9,11-12, 14-16,18-20, 22-23, 28-29, and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pinchuk, United States Patent 6,545,097, Smith et al., United States Patent, 5,639,810, and Hamilton et al., United States Patent, 6,896,842

Pinckuk et al. teach a composition for delivering therapeutic agents such as paclitaxel, see column 7, line 7 and abstract. The composition comprises a block copolymer made up of an elastomeric block and a thermoplastic block, and is used to coat at least a portion of an intravascular or intervascular medical device such as stent, see abstract and column 2, line 34. The thermoplastic polymers (high Tg block) may be used as end blocks, see column 3, lines 44-

Art Unit: 1619

57. The elastomeric blocks can include the broad genus of polyolefin blocks. The thermoplastic blocks can include vinyl aromatic polymer blocks such as blocks of styrene (elevated Tg non siloxne unit), see column 1 lines 62-67- column 2 lines 1-3. The thermoplastic blocks (elevated Tg blocks) can comprise a mixture of two different types of Tg non siloxane units including styrene, methylstryene, acrylate blocks, vinyl aromatic blocks or mixtures thereof, see column 1, lines 62-38-column 2, lines 1-3. Pinckuk et al. teach that blends of polymers including polystryene-polyisobutylene-polystrene copolymers can be added with the block copolymers with the advantage of increasing the strength of the coating see column 17, lines 29-38. The block copolymers of Pinchuk et al. can include grafting as Pinchuk et al. teach star-shaped configurations of the block copolymers, see column 3, lines 62-64. The star shaped configurations may be in B(AB)n or A(BA)n triblock configurations wherein B is the thermoplastic block and n=3 or more thus forming the star configuration (graft), see column 3, lines 50-57. Pinchuck et al. teach the use of barrier layers which coat the copolymers of the invention in order to retard diffusion of the therapeutic agent and prevent a burst phenomenon, see column 16, lines 54-67. Pinchuk et al. teach the use of elastomeric and thermoplastic blocks, see column 1, lines 54-55.

Pinckuk et al. does not expressly teach wherein the elastomeric block comprises dimethylpolysiloxane.

Smith et al. teach thermoplastic block copolymers having methylstyrene end blocks and polydimethylsiloxane (elastomeric) intermediate blocks. Smith teach that the elastomeric materials are useful for medical and therapeutic device applications, see abstract.

Art Unit: 1619

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the elastomeric blocks of polydimethylsiloxane with the thermoplastic blocks taught by Pinckuk et al. One would have been motivated to do so because it is taught by Smith et al. that such block copolymers are said to contain good strength and resistance to tearing, see column 5, lines 3-4. There would have been a reasonable expectation of success because Pinchuk et al. teach combining thermoplastic blocks including methylstyrene or styrene with elastomeric blocks. Furthermore, both references disclose using block copolymers for medical device applications. Regarding the elongation of break of at least 25% at ambient temperature, and the rubbery and hard phases of the polymers, these are considered a property of the block copolymer claimed. As the combined teachings of Pinckuk and Smith teach the structural block copolymer (elastomeric and thermoplastic blocks) it is expected that the block copolymer exhibits the same properties as the claimed invention. Furthermore MPEP 2112.01 recites if the composition is physically the same, it must have the same properties.

Neither Pinchuk nor Smith disclose sterilization of the device by radiation, however sterilization is an inherent property to any medical device which are inserted into the body. Furthermore, as disclosed by Hamilton et al. thermoplastic elastomers for medical devices are resistant to radiation, thus enable them to be sterilized by radiation, see column 3, lines 54-62.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sarah Al-Awadi whose telephone number is (571) 270-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm; M-F (EST).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,008 Page 7

Art Unit: 1619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bonnie Eyler can be reached on (571) 272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SARAH AL-AWADI/ Examiner, Art Unit 1619

> /Shanon A. Foley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1619